

Regulation & convergence bundles and content

Ewan Sutherland

<http://www.3wan.net/>

Introduction

- Introduction
- Web 2.0
- Ubiquitous networks
- Mobile television
- Fixed television
- Conclusions

Web 2.0

- picking up the pieces after the dot.com crash
- Social Networking Services (SNS):
 - Facebook
 - YouTube
 - LinkedIn.com
- Consumer Generated Media (CGM) and collaboration tools:
 - wikipedia
 - weblogs, video blogs and mobile blogs

Ubiquitous Network Society

- Any device, any network, any place
- A model created in Korea and Japan
- Assumes that operators build and own:
 - fibre broadband access network *and*
 - cellular radio network *and*
 - Wimax/Wibro radio network
- Assumes operators collaborate with:
 - manufacturers
 - service providers

South Korea

- U-Korea master plan
- pushing for more economic growth
- 4U's:
 - Universal
 - Usable
 - Unisonous
 - Upgraded
- IT839
- Multiple networks:
 - W-CDMA/HSDPA
 - Broadband Convergence Network
 - WiBro
 - DMB/Digital TV
 - u-home services
 - Telematics (cars/roads/locations)
 - RFID/USN
 - IT services

Developing and emerging countries

- The **only** extensive networks are:
 - GSM
 - terrestrial analogue television
- The other networks are patchy and/or unaffordable:
 - 3G
 - Fixed Wireless Access (e.g., CDMA and WIMAX)
 - digital television
 - PSTN
 - FTTH
 - cable television
 - satellite television (available but expensive)
- Many devices are still very expensive
- The ubiquitous network model makes little sense in such circumstances
- There is no “digital dividend”

Beyond GSM

- Make 900 MHz band technologically neutral:
 - operators can save costs
 - they decide when to change to UMTS
- Overlay cellular network infrastructure with:
 - WiMAX
 - mobile television
- 3G Long Term Evolution:
 - UMTS, HSDPA, HSUPA, HSPA, HSPA+
 - we know the theoretical limits, but the practical results?
- 4G? 5G? 6G?
 - will anyone finance these?
- GPS
- Micro-payments using stored credit and near-field comms.

Mobile television

- Many choices for standards (DVB-H, DMB, MediaFLO, MBMS, CMMB, etc.)
- Multi-cast and unicast
- What is the level of demand?
- Is it a stand-alone service or is it bundled with:
 - GSM/UMTS?
 - conventional television?
- Problems of leveraging existing market power from GSM or TV markets into MobileTV
- Yet bundling content across platforms makes sense for customers (e.g., a cross-platform news service)

YouTube.com

- Well-established on the fixed Internet
 - special channel for HM Queen Elizabeth II
- Users can create or modify content
- More democratic, but also more chaotic
- Now being used on mobile phones:
 - <http://m.youtube.com/>
 - but **severe** problems with data charges

Fixed television

- Terrestrial is moving to digital
- Satellite television
- Cable television
- Interactive TV:
 - initially with premium rate telephone services
 - also with premium rate SMS
- IP Television
- Video On Demand (VOD)
- User generated content

Business models

- Subscription:
 - works well in developed countries
 - very limited in developing countries
- Free to air:
 - paid by licence fee
 - paid by advertising:
 - who is willing to watch ads?
 - who bypasses the ads?
 - who audits the number of customers?

United States of America

- *à la carte*:
 - cable television has been sold as a fixed menu of dozens or hundreds of channels
 - complaints from consumers who wanted to buy only specific channels
 - FCC has intervened
 - Likely to be in the courts
- multi-dweller buildings:
 - building owners trying to limit access to competing networks
 - FCC intervened to force competition within buildings
 - now being taken to DC Court of Appeals

IP television

- Telcos are becoming competitive in television:
 - but not matching the revenues of established players
 - they need to upgrade their network capacity
- On demand content is increasing
- High value content:
 - concerns about losses from copying
- Requires a review of regulations to ensure:
 - co-existence of multiple business models
 - access to local networks

<http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/11/23/39869088.pdf>

English Premier League

- UK only Television broadcasting rights – 2007-2010
- Sky 23 first-choice matches shown at 4.00 pm on Sundays
- Sky 23 second-choice matches shown at 1.30pm on Sundays
- Setanta 23 third-choice matches shown at 8.00 pm on Mondays
- Setanta 8 second-choice matches + 15 fourth-choice matches shown at 5.15pm on Saturdays
- Sky 5 first-choice matches shown + 9 third-choice matches + 9 fourth-choice matches shown at 12.45pm on Saturdays
- Sky 10 first-choice matches + 7 second-choice matches + 6 third-choice matches in midweek and bank holidays, Saturdays (12.45pm) and Sundays (4.00pm)
- Sky paid £1,314 million and Setanta £392 million.
- Approximately **£28 million** to each Premier League football club per year.(THB 1,700 millions)

<http://www.footballeconomy.com/>

Slingbox

- A device to bridge television and the Internet
- Remote viewing of TV sources available in the home
- Access over the Internet
- Access from a mobile device

<http://www.slingmedia.com/>

Home server

- Many different views of the digital home
- Many different players want to take control:
 - broadband network operators
 - entertainment companies
 - computer operating systems vendors
 - domestic appliance manufacturers
- Combines:
 - multiple network inputs
 - storage
 - residential network access
 - remote access

Diversity

- A traditional argument for regulation was to ensure a plurality of views
- This is no longer important
- All views can be expressed, if anyone wants to listen to them
- The policy issue now concerns the promotion of content creation:
 - training of individuals
 - venture capital for start-ups
 - access to networks

Indecent, obscene or worse

- Traditional classification systems developed for:
 - radio and television
 - cinema
- People can access material across borders by:
 - satellite
 - Internet
- With Personal Video Recorders (PVRs) time of day is no longer relevant
- Even if we want to exercise control, will it be effective?
- Conflicting approaches:
 - traditional broadcasters are responsible for content, ISP are not
 - with broadcasting certain content is forbidden, on the Internet it is generally “notice and take down”

Conclusions

- We live in very uncertain times
- No business models that (yet) work
- Need to ensure openness
- Need to allow different sorts of bundling
- Need to promote content creation
- Need to have clear, reasonable and enforceable controls

Thank you

Ewan Sutherland

<http://3wan.net/>

3wan [at] 3wan.net

+44 141 416 0666

skype://sutherla

